ABSTRACT
Introduction: The skin hyperpigmentation process involves biochemical and immunological mechanisms that stimulate melanogenesis and although nephrotoxicity consists of the most relevant adverse reaction of polymyxin B, it is also associated with this changes. Case report: Case 1: male patient, diagnosed with Hodgkin's Lymphoma, who developed skin hyperpigmentation after starting treatment with meropenem, anidulafungin and polymyxin B due to a septic shock. Case 2: male patient, admitted to the ICU for decreased level of consciousness and suspected STEMI, diagnosed with endocarditis and pericarditis, who also presented skin hyperpigmentation during therapy with amphotericin B and polymyxin B. Conclusion: After careful evaluation of chronological order and drugs used by patients, we conclude that polymyxin B caused hyperpigmentation in both patients. Finally, based on the mechanism of this reaction and the scientific findings, clinical studies that may evidence a probable pharmacological effect with the use of H2 antagonists are required.
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RESUMO Introdução: O processo de hiperpigmentação cutânea envolve mecanismos bioquímicos e imunológicos que estimulam a melanogênese e apesar da nefrotoxicidade consistir na reação adversa mais relevante da polimixina B, o antimicrobiano também está associado a esta alteração. Relato do caso: Caso 1: paciente masculino diagnosticado com Linfoma de Hodgkin, que desenvolveu hiperpigmentação cutânea após iniciar tratamento com meropenem, anidulafungina e polimixina B devido a um quadro de choque séptico. Caso 2: paciente masculino admitido na UTI por rebaixamento do nível de consciência e suspeita de IAMCSS, diagnosticado com endocardite e pericardite, que também apresentou hiperpigmentação cutânea durante terapia com anfotericina B e polimixina B. Conclusão: Após criteriosa avaliação da ordem cronológica e medicamentos utilizados pelos pacientes, concluímos que a polimixina B desencadeou a hiperpigmentação em ambos. Por fim, baseado no mecanismo desta reação e aos achados científicos, estudos clínicos que possam evidenciar um provável efeito farmacológico com o uso de antagonistas H2 são necessários.
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INTRODUCTION

Adverse cutaneous reactions represent an important percentage of problems related to the use of drugs in hospital services and may predispose to serious complications, including death. Among the possible clinical manifestations, we highlight the alterations in skin color with a significant aesthetic and psychosocial impact, but without major damage to health.

Polymyxins represent a group of antibiotics for the treatment of gram-negative bacterial infections, however, due to their toxicity and the emergence of safer drugs, their use was practically discontinued in the 1970s. Although nephrotoxicity is characterized as the most relevant polymyxin B adverse reaction, skin hyperpigmentation is possible in patients taking this drug. Some reports are described in the literature, but few information about follow-up and clinical management are observed. The process of skin hyperpigmentation involves biochemical and immunological mechanisms, mainly related to histaminergic receptors. Currently, in the face of the emergence of multiresistant bacteria and the scarcity of new antimicrobial research, it is increasingly used in hospital services.

Therefore, considering the wide use of polymyxin B in hospital services, we report two cases of patients who developed skin hyperpigmentation during treatment with polymyxin B. This report was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of our institution and the consent term was signed by those responsible.

CASE REPORT

Case 1: Male patient, 24 years old, 46 kg, brown skin (phototype IV), with a major complaint of continuous fever for 15 days before hospitalization, weight loss and jaundice, admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) after lowering the level of consciousness and diagnosed with Hodgkin’s Lymphoma after clinical and immunohistopathological evaluation on the second day after admission in ICU.

Using cefepime and showing hemodynamic stability six days after admission, evolved to septic shock with the isolation of Acinetobacter baumannii in tracheal secretion, being initiated intravenous treatment with polymyxin B (500,000 IU, 12/12 hours), Meropenem (2 g, 8/8 hours) and anidulafungin (an attack dose followed by 100 mg, 24/24 hours) to replace cefepime. On the 15th day after admission, the chemotherapy protocol ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine) was administered, excluding doxorubicin due to hyperbilirubinemia. All medications used in the ICU are listed in Table 1.

Subsequently to the antimicrobial treatment for reversal of sepsis, on the seventh day of treatment, progressive hyperpigmentation of the head and neck was observed (Figure 1A). The apex of the pigmentation occurred around the 12th day, with a slight regression after the end of the antimicrobial treatment. After 10 days of suspension of polymyxin, a new episode of septic shock indicated resumption of therapy, but without aggravation of hyperpigmentation and evolution to death on the 48th day.

Case 2: Male patient, 45 years old, 78 kg, brown skin (phototype IV), complaining of a continuous febrile syndrome for 60 days and chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis, admitted to the ICU by lowering the level of consciousness and suspected myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation (STEMI), being submitted to emergency coronary angiography without evidence of obstruction. Initial exams revealed endocarditis with vegetation in the right atrium and pericarditis.

Using cefepime and showing hemodynamic stability six days after admission, evolved to septic shock with the isolation of an Acinetobacter baumannii in tracheal secretion, being initiated intravenous treatment with polymyxin B (500,000 IU, 12/12 hours), Meropenem (2 g, 8/8 hours) and anidulafungin (an attack dose followed by 100 mg, 24/24 hours) to replace cefepime. On the 15th day after admission, the chemotherapy protocol ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine) was administered, excluding doxorubicin due to hyperbilirubinemia. All medications used in the ICU are listed in Table 1.

Subsequently to the antimicrobial treatment for reversal of sepsis, on the seventh day of treatment, progressive hyperpigmentation of the head and neck was observed (Figure 1A). The apex of the pigmentation occurred around the 12th day, with a slight regression after the end of the antimicrobial treatment. After 10 days of suspension of polymyxin, a new episode of septic shock indicated resumption of therapy, but without aggravation of hyperpigmentation and evolution to death on the 48th day.
hyperpigmentation lasting for 4 months. In addition to antimicrobial therapy, the patient used the medications as shown in Table 1.

The progressive darkening of the face started on the 12th day of admission, 8 days after therapy with polymyxin B and amphotericin B (Figure 1B). The antibacterial agents were administered for 14 days, but even after discontinuation and discharge from the ICU, there was no regression of hyperpigmentation. During the entire hospitalization period (four months), pigmentation persisted.

DISCUSSION

Hyperpigmentation caused by medications are reported in the literature and more recently the reaction has been described for polymyxin B, affecting adults and pediatric/neonatal patients, whose percentage varying between 8-15% of the patients who presented the reaction with the use of the drug in two studies.

Men were most affected by this reaction, however, skin color seems to have more relevance in this process. In one study only 11.1% of patients with hyperpigmentation were Caucasian, who would be classified among the I-III phototypes on the Fitzpatrick scale, however, this same group represented 78.4% of the patients who did not present skin hyperpigmentation, while most reports describe patients who would be classified from phototype IV (Table 2).

Polymyxin B potentiates the release of histamine in the organism and causes morphological changes in human melanocytes from increased of tyrosinase activity, and in addition, histamine generates accumulation of intracellular cAMP and increases the activity of protein kinase A, stimulating melanogenesis in melanocytes. This whole process, therefore, results in increased production of melanin. According to Yoshida et al., this process is mediated through H2 receptors which is also present in human melanocytes.

From an in vivo study, Yoshida et al. observed that the use of H2 antagonists, famotidine and ranitidine, suppressed melanogenesis in melanocytes. The same author had already obtained similar results in an in vitro study that allowed him to highlight a probability of the new therapeutic option for treating hyperpigmentation. However, only one study evidenced some action of this class of drugs in the skin, the in vivo analysis of Yoshida et al. was performed with animals and part of the experiment was done with topical application of the drug. To our knowledge, there are no topical formulations of available H2 antagonists. Furthermore, we realized using proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in all hyperpigmentation reports which described the use of drugs, which was not different from our report. Thus, we questioned whether the use of ranitidine to replace the PPIs could not have had any pharmacological action as discussed by Yoshida et al. But there are still no studies that can prove it.

Hyperpigmentation was observed only in the head and neck region of both patients, where the largest number of melanocytes

| Table 2: Characterization of reports regarding hyperpigmentation due to polymyxin B use. |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Reference                       | Number of cases | Age (years) | Sex | Cutaneous phenotype | Polymyxin B dose | Chronic Disease |
| Kneuppel & Rahimian*           | 2       | 46     | M   | IV              | NR              | NR             |
| Zavascki et al.                | 1       | 55     | M   | NR              | 795,000 IU 48/48 hours** | CKD; DM         |
| Gothwal et al.                 | 1       | 0      | NR  | NR              | 25,000-40,000 IU/kg/day | NR             |
| Zavascki et al.                | 1       | 14     | F   | I-III           | 1,200,000 IU/day | NR             |
| Lahiry et al.                  | 1       | 65     | M   | IV-V            | 1,000,000 IU/day | COPD           |
| Mattos et al.                  | 3       | 44     | F   | IV              | 1,500,000 IU/day | NR             |
| This study                     | 2       | 24     | M   | IV              | 1,000,000 IU/day | NR             |
|                                |         | 45     | M   | IV              | 1,500,000 IU/day | CKD            |

COPD - Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; DM - Diabetes Mellitus; CKD - Chronic Kidney Disease; SAH - Systemic Arterial Hypertension; NR - Not Reported; * Fitzpatrick scale was used for classifying the skin phenotype. Based on ethnic description of the patients, the classification was based on Torres et al. ** Dose maintained for 10 days and adjusted to 420,000 IU every 12/12 hours.
in the body is concentrated\(^2\), which may explain the fact that it has not been found in other areas of the body. In addition, the patients were in an environment with artificial and controlled light, without contact with external light.

Applying the Naranjo algorithm, polymyxin B was probably associated with hyperpigmentation in both cases (6 points) and after the application of the Fitzpatrick scale to evaluate the cutaneous phototype in the patients, they were classified as type IV that varied for the VI in the reaction. Regarding the use of drugs, in case 1 only amitriptyline, bleomycin and tigecycline have cases of alterations in skin pigmentation, however, they were initiated when hyperpigmentation already existed. In case 2, we did not find cases of hyperpigmentation for all drugs used by the patient except for polymyxin B.

We conclude that hyperpigmentation was induced by polymyxin B. Men’s and dark-skinned populations are the most affected. Finally, based on the mechanism of this reaction and the findings of Yoshida et al.\(^{12,13}\), clinical studies that may evidence a probable pharmacological effect with the use of H2 antagonists are required.
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